Uniform Guidance Supplementary Financial Report Year Ended March 31, 2018

Contents

Independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of financial	
statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1-2
Independent auditor's report on compliance for each major federal program;	
report on internal control over compliance; and report on the schedule of	
expenditures of federal awards required by the Uniform Guidance	3-4
Schedule of expenditures of federal awards	5-7
Notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards	8
Schedule of findings and questioned costs	9-10
Ourse and a distant and the stantant and	4.4
Summary schedule of prior audit findings	11



RSM US LLP

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Board of Trustees American Battlefield Trust

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the consolidated financial statements of American Battlefield Trust and Affiliates (the Trust), which comprise the consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2018, the related consolidated statements of activities, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 2, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the consolidated financial statements, we considered the Trust's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Trust's consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

RSM US LLP

Gaithersburg, Maryland August 2, 2018



RSM US LLP

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

To the Board of Trustees American Battlefield Trust

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited American Battlefield Trust and Affiliates' (the Trust) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Trust's major federal programs for the year ended March 31, 2018. The Trust's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Trust's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Trust's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Trust's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Trust complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended March 31, 2018.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Trust is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Trust's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance We have audited the consolidated financial statements of the Trust as of and for the year ended March 31, 2018, and we have issued our report thereon dated August 2, 2018, which contained an unmodified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements as a whole.

RSM US LLP

Gaithersburg, Maryland August 2, 2018

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended March 31, 2018

	Federal				Amo	ount
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/	CFDA	Grant/	Fe	ederal	Provid	ded to
Program or Cluster Title	Number	Contract Number	Expe	enditures	Subred	pients
U.S. Department of the Interior	15.928					
Civil War Battlefield Land Acquisition Grants Program: Battlefield Acquisition Grant –	15.928					
Cold Harbor, Virginia		42-R1755	\$	17.228	\$	_
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –			*	,0	Ψ	
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania		P16AP00210		6,849		-
Passed through:						
Arkansas Historic Preservation Program						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –		B404B0005		705 407		
Prairie D'Ana, Arkansas		P18AP0005		725,437		-
Passed through:						
Birmingham Township, PA						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –						
Brandywine, Pennsylvania		P17AP00001		434,364		-
Passed through:						
Board of County Commissioners of Washington						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –						
Antietam, Maryland		P16AP00205		84,106		-
Passed through:						
Department of Conservation and Recreation, VA						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –						
Brandy Station, Virginia		P17AP00009		176,661		-
Passed through:						
Frederick County, MD						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –						
South Mountain, Maryland		P17AP00106		185,114		-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –		B. 174 B. 2000		400.045		
South Mountain, Maryland		P17AP00332		123,945		-
Passed through:						
Jasper County Commission						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –						
Carthage, Missouri		P14AP00010		77,212	7	7,212
Passed through:						
Jefferson County Historic Landmarks Commission						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –						
Shepherdstown, West Virginia		P17AP00098		42,026		-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –		D474 D00044		054050	0.5	4.050
Harpers Ferry, West Virginia		P17AP00011		954,850	95	4,850
Passed through:						
Maryland Department of Natural Resources						
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –		D404 D00050		000 000		
South Mountain, Maryland		P16AP00050		230,000		-
	(0					

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) Year Ended March 31, 2018

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/	Federal CFDA	Grant/	1	Federal	mount ovided to
Program or Cluster Title	Number	Contract Number		penditures	recipients
U.S. Department of the Interior (continued)					
Civil War Battlefield Land Acquisition Grants Program:	15.928				
Passed through:					
Mississippi Department of Archives and History					
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Port Gibson, Mississippi		P16AP00406	\$	71,840	\$ -
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –				•	
Brices Crossroads, Mississippi		P17AP00170		121,208	_
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –				•	
Brices Crossroads, Mississippi		P17AP00179		130,337	-
Passed through:					
North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources					
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Bentonville, North Carolina		P17AP00008		195,932	-
Passed through:					
South Carolina Department of Archives and History					
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Eutaw Spring, South Carolina		P17AP00319		99,798	-
Dancad Marriagh					
Passed through:					
Town of Fort Ann, New York					
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –		D474 D00400		470 477	
Fort Ann, New York		P17AP00183		170,177	-
Passed through:					
Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation					
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Appomattox Court House, Virginia		P16AP00070		184,826	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Appomattox Court House, Virginia		P16AP00011		69,247	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Appomattox Court House, Virginia		P16AP00033		56,121	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Appomattox Court House, Virginia		P17AP00097		110,538	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Brandy Station, Virginia		P16AP00453		462,734	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Fredericksburg, Virginia		P17AP00099		67,053	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Gaines Mill, Virginia		P17AP00113		144,965	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Kelly's Ford, Virginia		P17AP00138		152,377	152,377
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –					
Manassas, Virginia		P17AP00321		256,925	-
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –		B.=			
Trevilian Station, Virginia		P17AP00320		27,034	-

(Continued)

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) Year Ended March 31, 2018

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/	Federal CFDA	Grant/	Federal	Amount Provided to
Program or Cluster Title	Number	Contract Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
U.S. Department of the Interior (continued)			·	·
Civil War Battlefield Land Acquisition Grants Program:	15.928			
Passed through:				
Wilkes County, Georgia				
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –				
Kettle Creek, Georgia		P18AP0006	\$ 167,601	\$
Subtotal CFDA 15.928			5,546,505	1,184,439
American Battlefield Protection Program:	15.926			
Battlefield Interpretation		GA-2287-14-001	74,435	-
Battlefield Interpretation		GA-2287-14-002	6,749	-
Battlefield Interpretation		GA-2287-14-003	15,031	
Subtotal CFDA 15.926			96,215	
Subtotal U.S. Department of the Interior			5,642,720	1,184,439
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Highway Planning and Construction Program:	20.205			
Passed through:				
Maryland Department of Transportation:				
Battlefield Acquisition Grant –				
Monocacy, Maryland		12-11-015/AX609A21	114,392	-
South Mountain, Maryland		12-11-015/AX609A21	368,687	
Subtotal U.S. Department of Transportation			483,079	
Total expenditures of federal awards			\$ 6,125,799	\$ 1,184,439

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

American Battlefield Trust and Affiliates Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the Trust's programs of the federal government for the year ended March 31, 2018. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Trust, it is not intended to and does not present the consolidated financial position, changes in net assets or cash flows of the Trust.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. The Trust did not elect to use the 10% de minimis cost rate as covered in §200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended March 31, 2018

Section I. Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements	
Type of report auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:	Unmodified
 Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? 	Yes <u>X</u> NoYes <u>X</u> None Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes <u>X</u> No
<u>Federal Awards</u>	
 Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified? 	Yes <u>X</u> NoYes <u>X</u> None Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for the major programs:	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	Yes <u>X</u> No
Identification of major programs:	
CFDA Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
15.928	Civil War Battlefield Land Acquisition Grants
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction Program
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	<u>\$ 750,000</u>
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes <u>X</u> No
(Continued)	

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended March 31, 2018

Section II. Financial Statement Findings

None Reported.

Section III. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

None Reported.







SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Jeffrey R. Rodek
Columbus, Ohio
Chairman
O. James Lighthizer
Eldorado, Maryland

President Thomas H. Lauer Wellesley, Massachusetts Vice Chairman

Mary Munsell Abroe Wilmette Illinois Travis K. Anderson Newton, New Jersey Harrison M. Bains New York, New York Don Barrett Lexington, Mississippi Edwin C. Bearss Arlington, Virginia Terry Beaty, Jr. Bethesda, Maryland Kirk J. Bradley* Sanford, North Carolina John Campbell Scottsdale, Arizona Carlton B. Crenshaw Palmyra, Virginia leff Dahlgren Huntington Beach, California Robert C. Daum New York, New York Vince Dooley Athens, Georgia Lester G. "Ruff" Fant, III* Washington, D.C. William J. Hupp Glen Ellyn, Illinois Steve Israel Oyster Bay, New York Kate Kelly Los Angeles, California Duke R. Ligon Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Jeffrey P. McClanathan St. Petersburg, Florida Richard Mills Leesburg, Virginia John L. Nau, III* Houston, Texas Stephan F. Newhouse Vero Beach, Florida J. Dennis Sexton St. Petersburg, Florida

Material Weakness:

Identifying Number: 2017-001

Audit Finding: Under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), an unconditional promise to give shall be recognized when it is received. From time to time, the Trust may receive communications that are intentions to give, rather than promises to give. For example, communications from individuals indicating that the Trust has been included in the individual's will as a beneficiary are intentions to give. Such communications are not unconditional promises to give, because individuals retain the ability to modify their wills during their lifetimes. When the probate court declares the will valid, the Trust should recognize contribution revenue and a receivable at the fair value of its interest in the estate, unless the promise is conditioned upon future or uncertain events, in which case a contribution should not be recognized until the conditions are substantially met. During our audit procedures, we tested a beguest received and reviewed a letter from the Trustee, which accompanied the beguest. Based on the testing performed, we noted the Trust did not properly recognize the transaction, which included an unconditional promise to give. The amount was material to the overall consolidated financial statements.

Corrective Action Taken: Management has modified the monthly close process and has established controls to properly review and reconcile unconditional promises to give received to the accounting records. These include bequests. A letter was created to send to the Trustee to verify the bequest. This change will aide in strengthening internal controls and the review process to ensure unconditional promises to give are recognized in the proper period.

* Chairman Emeritus

Madhu Tadikonda New York, New York

Robert Uhler

Niwot, Colorado
William W. Vodra
Alexandria. Virginia

Susan Whitaker Franklin, Tennessee

Consolidated Financial Report March 31, 2018

Contents

1-2
3
4
5
6
7-19



RSM US LLP

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors American Battlefield Trust

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of American Battlefield Trust and Affiliates (the Trust), which comprise the consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2018, the related consolidated statements of activities, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements (collectively, financial statements).

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Trust as of March 31, 2018, and the changes in their net assets and their cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 2, 2018, on our consideration of the Trust's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal controls over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal controls over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Trust's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Summarized Comparative Information

We have previously audited the Trust's 2017 financial statements, and we expressed an unmodified audit opinion on those audited financial statements in our report dated June 22, 2017. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended March 31, 2017, is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

RSM US LLP

Gaithersburg, Maryland August 2, 2018

Consolidated Balance Sheet March 31, 2018 (With Comparative Totals for 2017)

•			
•			
\$	8,507,681 220,071 90,000 1,148,846 46,688 213,740	\$	6,718,904 345,348 1,795,110 950,922 46,688 257,449
	7,659,474 1,749,320 12,457,121	\$	630,713 6,424,978 1,758,269 94,373,438 113,301,819
\$	376,217 117,809 158,282 681,739 3,294 3,563,332 4,900,673	\$	485,122 152,115 208,191 630,713 34,432 3,859,372 5,369,945
	9,087,062		8,475,968
1			5,082,468 94,373,438 99,455,906
	\$ 1 \$	90,000 1,148,846 46,688 213,740 681,739 7,659,474 1,749,320 112,457,121 \$ 132,774,680 \$ 376,217 117,809 158,282 681,739 3,294 3,563,332 4,900,673 9,087,062 6,329,824 112,457,121 118,786,945	90,000 1,148,846 46,688 213,740 681,739 7,659,474 1,749,320 112,457,121 \$ 132,774,680 \$ \$ 376,217 \$ 117,809 158,282 681,739 3,294 3,563,332 4,900,673 9,087,062 6,329,824 112,457,121 118,786,945

Consolidated Statement of Activities Year Ended March 31, 2018 (With Comparative Totals for 2017)

				2018				
			-	Temporarily				2017
	U	nrestricted		Restricted		Total		Total
Support and revenue:								
Contributions	\$	6,313,975	\$	4,329,330	\$	10,643,305	\$	12,495,885
Grants and awards		757,060		8,427,315		9,184,375		10,859,111
Member contributions		4,110,141		-		4,110,141		3,690,577
Donated land		-		14,031,500		14,031,500		3,841,039
Conference registration fees		193,471		-		193,471		196,554
Rental income		228,307		-		228,307		183,357
Other income		257,323		-		257,323		620,592
Interest income		22,451		-		22,451		28,001
Royalty income		65,841		-		65,841		73,273
Other contributions		10,100		-		10,100		42,525
Donated services		49,656		-		49,656		13,003
Net assets released from restriction		7,457,106		(7,457,106)		-		-
Total support and revenue		19,465,431		19,331,039		38,796,470		32,043,917
_								
Expenses:								
Program services:		0.004.400				0.004.400		0.657.600
Preservation		9,994,100		-		9,994,100		9,657,629
Membership		3,659,125		-		3,659,125		3,698,059
Education		2,268,881		-		2,268,881		2,105,973
Total program services		15,922,106		-		15,922,106		15,461,661
Support services:								
Management and general		805,548		-		805,548		736,634
Fundraising		2,154,691		-		2,154,691		1,906,227
Total support services		2,960,239		-		2,960,239		2,642,861
Total expenses		18,882,345		-		18,882,345		18,104,522
Change in net assets before								
other activities		583,086		19,331,039		19,914,125		13,939,395
Other activities:								
Unrealized gain on fair value of								
interest rate swaps		31,138		_		31,138		51,703
Loss on disposal of		31,130		_		31,130		31,703
		(3,130)		_		(3,130)		(13,910)
property and equipment Change in net assets		611,094		19,331,039		19,942,133		13,977,188
Change in fiet assets		011,034		19,551,059		13,342,133		10,377,100
Net assets:								
Beginning		8,475,968		99,455,906		107,931,874		93,954,686
Ending	\$	9,087,062	\$	118,786,945	\$	127,874,007	\$	107,931,874
Liming	Ψ	J,001,002	Ψ	. 10,100,343	Ψ	. 21,017,001	Ψ	101,001,017

Consolidated Statement of Functional Expenses Year Ended March 31, 2018 (With Comparative Totals for 2017)

	2018									_		
	Management						'	2017				
	Prese	ervation	Membership	Educa	ion	and General	Fund	raising		Total		Total
Payroll and benefits	\$ 1,4	409,850	\$ 692,920	\$ 919	,669 \$	616,412	\$ 8	390,955	\$	4,529,806	\$	4,273,283
Donated land	3,6	644,566	-		-	-		-		3,644,566		4,675,275
Grants	1,8	869,345	-		-	-		-		1,869,345		502,326
Postage, printing and production		32,901	1,047,240	29	,373	10,161	7	734,261		1,853,936		2,004,199
Professional fees	•	647,788	157,670	784	,610	22,660	1	128,116		1,740,844		1,335,001
Land maintenance	1,3	320,824	-		-	-		-		1,320,824		1,609,689
Membership fulfillment		430	1,118,531		85	367	1	159,047		1,278,460		1,247,349
Rent	2	215,806	84,876	120	,686	34,247	1	108,154		563,769		554,691
Office	1	170,816	113,022	54	,656	43,196		60,316		442,006		413,441
Depreciation and amortization	3	325,172	24,159	19	,788	9,730		14,430		393,279		284,756
Meetings		17,788	257,300	11	,388	19,185		6,206		311,867		278,295
Travel and entertainment	1	147,250	47,764	31	,075	15,054		26,489		267,632		213,835
Utilities		64,861	44,428	78	,046	18,690		22,523		228,548		242,402
Educational programs		2,454	-	196	,265	-		-		198,719		235,416
Interest	1	101,537	640		-	-		-		102,177		108,359
Advertising		20,283	34,488	22	,539	-		1,165		78,475		100,628
Other		2,329	35,687		701	15,846		3,029		57,592		25,577
Contributions		100	400		-	· •		-		500		-
Total expenses	\$ 9,9	994,100	\$ 3,659,125	\$ 2,268	,881 \$	805,548	\$ 2,1	154,691	\$	18,882,345	\$	18,104,522

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Year Ended March 31, 2018 (With Comparative Totals for 2017)

		2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Change in net assets	\$	19,942,133	\$ 13,977,188
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash			
provided by operating activities:			
Deferred rent		(49,909)	(31,555)
Depreciation		389,319	280,796
Amortization of debt issuance costs		3,960	3,960
Donated land to Trust		(14,031,500)	(3,841,039)
Donated land from Trust		3,644,566	4,675,275
Donated collections from Trust		-	33,658
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		3,130	13,910
Unrealized gain on fair value of interest rate swaps		(31,138)	(51,703)
Decrease in discount in promises to give		(8,250)	(8,250)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Decrease (increase) in:			
Grants receivable		125,277	258,685
Promises to give		1,713,360	(136,694)
Prepaid expenses and other		43,709	19,809
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(108,905)	63,426
Deferred revenue		(34,306)	(4,520)
Deferred compensation payable		61,000	61,000
Net cash provided by operating activities		11,662,446	15,313,946
Cash flows from investing activities: Acquisition of restricted land and easements Purchases of property and equipment Proceeds from sale of land and easements Proceeds from sale of equipment Land deposits, net Purchase of deferred compensation investments Additions to designated cash Withdrawals from designated cash for land acquisitions Repayments of designated cash Net cash used in investing activities Cash flows from financing activities: Principal payment on long-term debt		(9,352,167) (1,627,545) 1,655,418 600 (197,924) (61,000) (111,902) 4,404,625 (4,283,774) (9,573,669)	(16,217,140) (1,781,781) 1,473,247 - 70,849 (61,000) (105,502) 6,274,670 (3,805,410) (14,152,067)
Net cash used in financing activities		(300,000)	(300,000)
not out a sou in initiality deliving		(000,000)	(000,000)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		1,788,777	861,879
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Beginning		6,718,904	5,857,025
Ending	\$	8,507,681	\$ 6,718,904
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	<u>\$</u>	102,177	\$ 108,359

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Activities and Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of activities: American Battlefield Trust and Affiliates (collectively, the Trust) consists of three entities: American Battlefield Trust (ABT), Americans for Battlefield Preservation (AFBP) and Endangered Battlefield Defense Fund (EBDF). ABT, AFBP and EBDF are affiliated through control, common support, activities and/or certain members of the Board of Directors.

As of March 14, 2018, ABT legally changed its name from Civil War Trust to American Battlefield Trust, to more accurately reflect its mission to protect the battlefields of the Revolutionary War, War of 1812 and Civil War. ABT is an international nonprofit corporation chartered in Virginia.

Programs and supporting activities: The programs and supporting activities of ABT consist of the following:

Preservation: The Preservation program represents the personnel available to continue ABT's purpose of preserving American battlefield sites by purchasing sites or obtaining easements. The program also incurs the expenses of maintaining the sites, paying the real estate taxes and other related costs. The Preservation program of the Trust assists other governmental and nonprofit entities in preserving battlefield sites.

Membership: The Membership program of ABT consists of services provided to its members, which include but are not limited to personnel that maintain the list of members, preparation and mailing of membership fulfillment, and communication of events, activities and developments of the Trust. The membership consists of approximately 52,000 members throughout the world.

Education: The purpose is to educate the public and its members related to the events, history and battlefields of the Civil War that occurred in the United States of America from the period 1861 to 1865. ABT provides this service so that future generations can study and reflect on the important events of the Civil War.

Management and general: The management and general function of ABT consists of expenses incurred in the administrative offices, travel expenses, finance and committees. The base used to allocate these expenditures is direct salaries, fringe benefits, overhead assessed and other direct costs.

Fundraising: The purpose is to promote ABT and raise support in the form of pledges, grants, contributions, activities and events to be used for operating, membership and preservation purposes. Expenses include costs for membership development.

AFBP is an international nonprofit corporation chartered in the District of Columbia in November 2005. It was established to advance, foster and promote Civil War battlefield preservation through education, advocacy and research for the benefit of the general public. AFBP seeks to raise awareness among the public of the need to preserve and protect Civil War battlefields, to educate the public on battlefield preservation, to maintain and increase the level of Civil War battlefield preservation through local grassroots networks of Civil War battlefield preservation activists, to assist in the interpretation of battlefield sites, to conduct and disseminate research and to train local preservation activists.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Activities and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

EBDF is a nonprofit corporation chartered in the state of Virginia in September 2009. It was established to assist ABT in the exercise and enforcement of ABT's legal rights and duties, including but not limited to the protection and preservation of all historic property owned by ABT. It also assists in the enforcement of easement rights granted to ABT or to any other charitable or historic entity, including the National Park Service, in its or their efforts to maintain and preserve historical properties related to the United States Civil War. It also assists in the enforcement of ABT's contractual rights and court decrees or judgments in favor of ABT or any other charitable, historic or public entity charged with the preservation of historical property related to the United States Civil War.

A summary of the Trust's significant accounting policies follows:

Principles of consolidation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of ABT, AFBP and EBDF. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Basis of accounting: The accompanying consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the accrual basis of accounting, whereby unconditional support is recognized when received, revenue is recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

Basis of presentation: The consolidated financial statement presentation follows the requirements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) regarding financial statements of nonprofit organizations. Under these requirements, the Trust is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets and permanently restricted net assets.

Unrestricted net assets: Are the net assets that are neither permanently restricted nor temporarily restricted by donor-imposed stipulations.

Temporarily restricted net assets: Result from contributions whose use is limited by donor-imposed stipulations that either expire by passage of time or can be fulfilled and removed by actions of the Trust pursuant to these stipulations. Net assets may be temporarily restricted for various purposes, such as use in future periods or use for specified purposes. Preserved battlefield land is temporarily restricted until transferred to another party for permanent preservation.

Permanently restricted net assets: Result from contributions whose use is limited by donor-imposed stipulations that neither expire by the passage of time nor can be fulfilled or otherwise removed by the Trust's actions. The Trust held no permanently restricted net assets at March 31, 2018.

Cash and cash equivalents: The Trust considers all money market accounts and unrestricted short-term, highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less at acquisition to be cash and cash equivalents.

Financial risk: The Trust maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Trust has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Trust believes it is not exposed to any significant financial risk on cash.

Grants receivable: Grants receivable are comprised mainly of allowable costs in excess of amounts collected on federal grants. Such recoverable costs are billable when expenditures are incurred. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's evaluation of the collectability of receivables. There was no allowance for doubtful accounts at March 31, 2018.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Activities and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Promises to give: Unconditional promises to give are recognized as revenue or gains in the year acknowledged. Conditional promises to give are recognized when the conditions on which they depend are substantially met.

Unconditional promises to give that are expected to be collected within one year are recorded at net realizable value. Unconditional promises to give that are expected to be collected in future years are recorded net of a present value discount, which is based on their estimated future cash flows. The Trust uses the allowance method to determine uncollectible contributions and promises to give receivables. The allowance is based on prior years' experience and management's analysis of specific promises made. There was no allowance as of March 31, 2018.

Bond issuance costs: Bond issuance costs are being amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the bond, which approximates the effective-interest method. Accumulated amortization as of March 31, 2018, was \$145,104. Unamortized bond issuance costs are reported as an offset to long-term debt.

Land deposits: Land deposits are escrow payments to sellers on land where the Trust has an agreement to purchase and a deposit is required to commit to the purchase. Land deposits also consist of payments for appraisals, surveys and environmental reports on land with an executed purchase agreement and on future uncommitted land purchases.

Collections: Collections of Civil War artifacts were obtained by the Trust along with land purchased. The Collections are recorded at the fair value of the artifacts at the time of the purchase. Collection items are depreciated over their estimated useful lives unless they have cultural, aesthetic or historical value that is worth preserving perpetually. The Trust is holding the collections at the initially recorded fair value at purchase until the land that it was purchased with is donated to other organizations for permanent preservation maintenance.

Investments: Investments with readily determinable fair values are reflected at fair market value. To adjust the carrying value, unrealized gains and losses are reported in the consolidated statement of activities as components of investment income or as an adjustment to deferred compensation payable. Investments consisted of \$458,546 in fixed income mutual funds, \$157,220 in money market mutual funds and \$65,973 in cash at March 31, 2018.

Property and equipment: Property and equipment are stated at cost or, if donated, at the estimated fair value at the date of donation, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. The Trust capitalizes all property and equipment purchased with a cost of \$1,000 or more.

Restricted land: Restricted land consists of battlefields purchased for permanent preservation with government funds and contributions received by the Trust specifically designated for such purpose. The intent of the Trust is to maintain the battlefields until they are released to other entities through donation or sale for permanent maintenance as battlefield preserved land. Restricted land was comprised of \$76,713,113 of purchased land and \$35,744,008 of donated land and easements at March 31, 2018.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Activities and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Valuation of long-lived assets: The Trust reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of the long-lived asset is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reportable at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell. The Trust had no impairments of long-lived assets during the year ended March 31, 2018.

Interest rate swap agreements: The fair value of the interest rate swap agreements is the estimated amount that the bank or financial institution would receive or pay to terminate the swap agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates and the current credit worthiness of the swap counter parties.

Grants and awards: The Trust receives a substantial portion of its funding for land acquisitions under various federal and state grants and awards. Generally, the funding under the grants/awards is on a cost-reimbursement basis, because the Trust typically acquires the land prior to receiving the award. The grant/award agreements contain various requirements, including compliance with relevant Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars, matching requirements, as well as reporting and audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

Grant support is recognized when the conditions of the grant are substantially met. Any grant funds received in advance are recorded as refundable advances.

Contributions: Unconditional contributions received are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily restricted or permanently restricted support, depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions. However, if a temporarily restricted contribution's restriction is fulfilled in the same time year in which the contribution is received, the Trust reports the support as unrestricted. Contributions from the Board of Directors were \$1,923,782 during the year ended March 31, 2018.

Member contributions: Membership dues are accounted for as a contribution in the year received.

Non-cash donations: Donated securities, land and property and equipment are recorded as support at their estimated fair values at the date of donation. Such donations are reported as unrestricted support, unless the donor has restricted the donated asset to a specific purpose. Assets donated with explicit restrictions regarding their use are reported as temporarily restricted support. Restricted land donated to other organizations for permanent preservation maintenance are released from restriction at the fair value at the time of donation.

Absent explicit donor stipulations regarding how long those donated assets must be maintained, the Trust reports expirations of donor restrictions when the donated or acquired assets are placed in service as instructed by the donor, at which time temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets. Donated securities are not held as investments but are sold as soon as practicable after donation.

Royalty income: Royalty revenue from publications published by the Trust is recognized as revenue when earned.

Other revenue: Conference registration fees are recognized as revenue when conferences are held. Accordingly, prepaid registration fees are recognized as deferred revenue.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Activities and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Functional allocation of expenses: Certain costs have been allocated among the programs and supporting services. Allocation of costs by function is based principally on specific identification of costs to either programs, management and general, or fundraising.

Advertising costs: Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred.

Income tax status: ABT and EBDF are generally exempt from federal income taxes under the provisions of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. AFBP is generally exempt from federal income taxes under the provisions of Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code. In addition, ABT and EBDF qualify for the charitable contribution deductions and have been classified as organizations that are not private foundations. Contributions to AFBP are not deductible to donors. Income that is not related to exempt purposes, less applicable deductions, is subject to federal and state corporate income taxes. Neither ABT, AFBP nor EBDF had net unrelated business income for the year ended March 31, 2018.

Management evaluated ABT, AFBP and EBDF's tax positions and concluded that they have taken no uncertain tax positions that require adjustment to the consolidated financial statements to comply with the provisions of this guidance.

Use of estimates: The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the year. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Prior year summarized information: The consolidated financial statements include certain prior year summarized comparative financial information in total but not by net asset class. Such information does not include sufficient detail to constitute a presentation in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, such information should be read in conjunction with the Trust's consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2017, from which the summarized information was derived.

Recent accounting pronouncements: In June 2018, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2018-08, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958): Clarifying the Scope and the Accounting Guidance for Contributions Received and Contributions Made, which clarifies and improves the scope and accounting guidance for contributions received and contributions made. The amendments in the ASU assist entities in (1) evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as contributions (nonreciprocal transactions) within the scope of Topic 958, Not-for-Profit Entities, or as exchange (reciprocal) transactions subject to other guidance and (2) determining whether a contribution is conditional. ASU 2018-08 is effective for resource recipients in annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Trust is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-14, *Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities*, which simplifies and improves how a not-for-profit organization classifies its net assets, as well as the information it presents in financial statements and notes about its liquidity, financial performance and cash flows. Among other changes, the ASU replaces the three current classes of net assets with two new classes, "net assets with donor restrictions" and "net assets without donor restrictions", and expands disclosures about the nature and amount of any donor restrictions. ASU 2016-14 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The Trust is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Activities and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. The guidance in this ASU supersedes the leasing guidance in *Topic 840*, *Leases*. Under the new guidance, lessees are required to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. The Trust currently expects that upon adoption of ASU 2016-02, right-of-use assets and lease liabilities will be recognized in the consolidated balance sheet in amounts that will be material.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, requiring an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The updated standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) when it becomes effective and permits the use of either a full retrospective or retrospective with cumulative effect transition method. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14 which defers the effective date of ASU 2014-09 one year making it effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Trust has not yet selected a transition method and is currently evaluating the effect that the standard will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent events: The Trust evaluated subsequent events through August 2, 2018, which is the date the consolidated financial statements were available to be issued.

Note 2. Cash Designated for Land Acquisitions

The Trust has cash designated for land acquisitions (the Fund), established to provide a reliable source of funds to save Civil War battlefields. The objective of the Fund is to have a source of funds available to acquire targeted land, which will subsequently, to the greatest extent practical, be reimbursed by undesignated funds. Property to be purchased through the Fund must be significant battlefield land and subject to appropriate permanent historical and/or conservation restrictions.

The activity of the Fund consisted of the following during the year ended March 31, 2018:

Cash designated for land acquisition, beginning	\$ 1,758,269
Expenditures for acquisitions	(4,404,625)
Transfers from undesignated cash	4,283,774
Contributions	110,000
Interest income	1,902
Cash designated for land acquisition, ending	\$ 1,749,320

Note 3. Promises to Give

Unconditional promises to give at March 31, 2018, consist of promises due in less than one year totaling \$90,000.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 4. Land Deposits

The Trust has made cash deposits to purchase land on the following properties at March 31, 2018:

	Committed						
Property	Acres	e De	posit				
Appomattox Court House	7.5	\$ 175,000	\$	9,522			
Brandy Station, VA	1.9	75,000		1,000			
Brice's Crossroads, MS	36.3	138,000		11,650			
Cedar Creek, VA	13.7	850,000		15,349			
Cold Harbor, VA	29.0	635,000		6,681			
Cold Harbor, VA	1.0	356,000		4,000			
Cold Harbor, VA	3.0	256,000		4,933			
Cold Harbor, VA	9.0	450,000		7,933			
Cold Harbor, VA	40.6	700,000		5,000			
Cold Harbor, VA	2.6	325,000		4,000			
Corinth, MS	88.0	330,000		9,900			
Deep Bottom II	93.9	650,000		24,523			
Gettysburg, PA	11.0	3,500,000		54,500			
Glendale	9.0	195,000		11,000			
New Market Heights, VA	33.9	349,000		38,875			
North Anna, VA	125.7	900,000		84,753			
Rappahannock Station, VA	0.7	455,000		10,190			
Reams Station, VA	9.4	325,000		46,060			
Trevilian Station, VA	12.5	78,000		6,930			
White Oak Rd., VA	12.3	41,000		5,974			
Wyse Fork, NC	208.6	305,000		20,250			
Camden, SC	278.0	10,000		26,913			
Newtown, NY	68.4	195,000		13,430			
Princeton, NJ	14.9	4,000,000	1	10,506			
Saratoga, NY	19.8	69,900		10,450			
Yorktown, VA	46.7	5,300,000	1	13,328			
Yorktown, VA	2.8	270,000		21,815			
		\$ 20,932,900	6	79,465			
Cash deposits on uncommitted property			4	69,381			
			\$ 1,1	48,846			

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment and accumulated depreciation at March 31, 2018, and depreciation expense for the year ended March 31, 2018, are as follows:

	Estimated Useful Lives	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation		Net		Depreciation	
Buildings and building								
improvements	15-40 years	\$ 7,974,294	\$	975,576	\$	6,998,718	\$	277,239
Equipment	5-7 years	627,216		412,462		214,754		97,295
Leasehold improvements	15-39 years	582,053		136,051		446,002		14,785
		\$ 9,183,563	\$	1,524,089	\$	7,659,474	\$	389,319

The buildings and related improvements are located on restricted land and rented for various purposes until disposed or sold.

Note 6. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt as of March 31, 2018, consists of the following:

Principal amount	\$ 3,600,000
Less unamortized bond issuance costs	(36,668)
	\$ 3,563,332

Bond payable: In June 2007, the Trust obtained a \$6,000,000 loan through a bank as trustee from proceeds of a bond issuance (Series 2007) by the Economic Development Authority of Spotsylvania County, Virginia. The loan was obtained to help refinance the acquisition of a 205-acre parcel of battlefield in Fredericksburg, Virginia. In connection with the loan, the Trust entered into a corresponding letter of credit agreement for the issuance of an irrevocable letter of credit by the Trust's bank in an amount not to exceed \$6,080,000 (\$6 million plus 40 days interest at 12% per year).

In April 2010, the Trust entered into a bank qualified loan agreement with a bank to refinance the Series 2007 revenue bonds and to replace the outstanding letter of credit. The total remaining principal balance of \$5,400,000 was refinanced through Series 2010 revenue refunding bonds issued by the Economic Development Authority of Spotsylvania County, Virginia. The new bonds accrue interest at a variable interest rate calculated as 67% of the sum of the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 200 basis points. The bond is due on April 1, 2027, and is collateralized by various battlefield land parcels held by ABT. On December 22, 2017, the President of the United States signed into law the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act tax reform legislation. The terms of the documents governing the Series 2010 revenue refunding bonds require that the interest rate to the financing be increased as a result of a decrease in the maximum federal corporate income tax rate. With the passage of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, the maximum federal corporate income tax rate decreased from 35% to 21%, resulting in an increase in the applicable interest rate by a factor of 1.215, effective January 1, 2018.

The bond's interest rate on March 31, 2018, was 2.98%. The Trust is required to maintain certain financial and non-financial covenants. The bonds shall mature and be subject to prior redemption or the terms and conditions set forth in the indenture agreement. The bonds were subject to mandatory tender for purchase by the owner on April 1, 2018. On April 30, 2015, the bond agreement was modified to move the mandatory tender date to April 1, 2020. On April 1, 2016, the bond agreement was further modified to amend certain financial and non-financial covenants.

Interest expense related to the bond payable was \$101,537 for the year ended March 31, 2018.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 6. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

Maturities of long-term debt at March 31, 2018, are due in future years as follows:

Years ending March 31:

2019	\$	300,000
2020		300,000
2021	3	3,000,000
	\$ 3	3,600,000

Note 7. Interest Rate Swaps

The Trust has an interest rate swap agreement to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on its bond payable. At March 31, 2018, the Trust had an outstanding interest swap agreement with a commercial bank, with a notional principal amount of \$1,800,000. This agreement effectively changes the interest rate exposure on \$1,800,000 of its remaining outstanding \$3,600,000 of bonds at a variable rate of .67% of LIBOR to a fixed rate of 1.94%. The interest rate swap agreement matured on June 1, 2018. At March 31, 2018, the fair value of the interest rate swap was a liability of \$3,164.

During January 2015, the Trust entered into a new interest rate swap agreement to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on its bond payable effective June 1, 2018. At March 31, 2018, the Trust had an outstanding interest swap agreement with a commercial bank, with a notional principal amount of \$1,650,000. This agreement effectively changes the interest rate exposure on \$1,650,000 of its remaining outstanding \$3,600,000 of bonds at a variable rate of .67% of LIBOR to a fixed rate of 1.60%. The interest rate swap agreement maturity is April 1, 2020. At March 31, 2018, the fair value of the interest rate swap was a liability of \$130.

For the year ended March 31, 2018, the Trust recognized a gain of \$31,138, as a result of these hedging agreements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 8. Temporarily Restricted Net Assets

Changes in temporarily restricted net assets during the year ended March 31, 2018, consist of the following:

		Balance March 31,					Balance March 31,	
	2017		Additions	Releases			2018	
							_	
Campaign 1776	\$	1,271,401	\$ 2,309,779	\$	-	\$	3,581,180	
Richmond Battlefields		2,294,800	100,000		(1,169,392)		1,225,408	
5th NY Zouaves		500,000	-		-		500,000	
HTR		396,878	400,000		(351,043)		445,835	
Campaign 1776 – Other		80,000	257,200		(80,124)		257,076	
Alabama – Civil War		-	100,000		-		100,000	
Deep Bottom – Bowyer		-	100,000		-		100,000	
Cold Harbor – Vie		-	98,500		-		98,500	
Manassas, VA		-	11,000		-		11,000	
Education Teachers Institute		5,600	5,000		(5,600)		5,000	
Brandy Station		-	3,000		-		3,000	
Miscellaneous Tracts		1,589	2,500		(1,264)		2,825	
Gettysburg – Adams		179,768	-		(179,768)		-	
Brice's Crossroads		87,500	-		(87,500)		-	
Mississippi		84,500	-		(84,500)		-	
Education		69,605	17,500		(87,105)		-	
Cedar Creek		57,000	-		(57,000)		-	
Appomattox		31,327	-		(31,327)		-	
Shiloh		17,500	-		(17,500)		-	
Parkers Crossroads		5,000	-		(5,000)		-	
Programs – purpose restricted		5,082,468	3,404,479		(2,157,123)		6,329,824	
Preserved Battlefield Land		94,373,438	23,383,666		(5,299,983)		112,457,121	
	\$	99,455,906	\$ 26,788,145	\$	(7,457,106)	\$	118,786,945	

Note 9. Operating Leases

The Trust had an agreement to lease office space for its headquarters in Washington, D.C. under a non-cancelable lease agreement, which was due to expire on March 31, 2020. The lease agreement provided for free rent during a portion of the initial year of the term and escalating payments. During June 2017, the Trust amended its existing lease agreement to extend the lease term for a term due to expire on March 31, 2025. In addition, the Trust received a tenant renovation allowance of \$245,950, which was fully unused at March 31, 2018.

Rent expense is being recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

In addition to the headquarters lease, the Trust also leases office space in Hagerstown, Maryland under a non-cancelable lease agreement, expiring on July 31, 2021. The lease has escalating payments with rent expense recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

The difference between the expense and the cash payments for both leases of \$158,282 through March 31, 2018, is reported as deferred rent in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Rent expense for all office space was \$563,769 for the year ended March 31, 2018.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 9. Operating Leases (Continued)

Future minimum lease payments required under all non-cancelable office leases at March 31, 2018, are as follows:

2019	\$ 521,381
2020	534,687
2021	548,331
2022	522,547
2023	515,817
Thereafter	 1,070,643
	\$ 3,713,406

Note 10. Grant Expense

The Trust supports other organizations with the same purpose as that of the Trust. Grants are recognized when made unconditionally to the grantee and notification has taken place. Grants awarded for the year ended March 31, 2018, totaled \$1,869,345.

Note 11. Retirement Plan

The Trust sponsors a defined contribution retirement plan covering all employees meeting certain eligibility requirements under Internal Revenue Code Section 403(b). The Trust's annual discretionary contribution to the plan for the year ended March 31, 2018, was \$155,071.

Note 12. Commitments and Contingencies

Employment agreement: The Trust has entered into an employment contract with an officer of the Trust, which, after renewal, expires on January 31, 2019. Subsequent to year-end, this agreement was amended and extended through December 31, 2020. The contract provides for severance payments for involuntary termination equal to a minimum of one year's salary and existing benefits, based upon the employee's salary at the date of the termination or at the end of the contract. Under the contract, the Trust is obligated to contribute \$43,500 annually to a deferred compensation plan for the officer. A second deferred compensation plan was established during 2011 for the same officer, where the Trust shall credit the maximum allowable amount per law and shall become fully vested immediately. The deferred compensation plan balances are recorded as deferred compensation investments and a corresponding payable on the consolidated balance sheet.

Federal awards: The Trust participates in a number of federally assisted grant programs, which are subject to financial and compliance audits by federal agencies or their representatives. As such, there exists a contingent liability for potential questioned costs that may result from such audits. Management does not anticipate any significant adjustments as a result of such audits.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 13. Fair Value Measurements

The Trust follows FASB authoritative guidance regarding accounting for fair value measurements. The guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and sets out a fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). Inputs are broadly defined under the guidance as assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under the guidance are described below:

- **Level 1:** Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. The types of investments included in Level 1 include mutual funds.
- **Level 2:** Inputs other than quoted prices within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments which are generally included in this category include corporate loans, less liquid, restricted equity securities and certain corporate bonds, government obligations and over-the-counter derivatives. A significant adjustment to a Level 2 input could result in a reclassification to a Level 3 measurement.
- **Level 3:** Inputs are unobservable for the asset or liability and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. The inputs into the determination of fair value are based upon the best information in the circumstances and may require significant management judgment or estimation.

The inputs or methodology used for valuing financial instruments are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those investments. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Trust's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the investment.

The following section describes the valuation techniques used by the Trust:

- Investments in mutual funds traded on a national securities exchange, or reported on the NASDAQ
 national market, are stated at the last reported sales price on the day of valuation. Fair value of
 exchange-traded contracts is based upon exchange settlement prices. These financial instruments
 are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.
- The deferred compensation liability is based on the fair market value of the deferred compensation plan assets that are observable inputs, but the liability is not publicly traded, and is therefore considered a Level 2 item.
- The Trust's interest rate swap is observable at commonly quoted intervals for the full term of the swap and, therefore, is considered a Level 2 item. For the interest rate swaps in an asset position, the credit standing of the counter party is analyzed and factored into the fair value measurement of the asset. The fair value measurement of a liability must reflect the nonperformance risk of the entity. Therefore, the impact of the Trust's credit worthiness has also been factored into the fair value measurement for the interest rate swap in a liability position.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 13. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The following table presents the Trust's fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2018:

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets: Deferred compensation investments: Fixed income mutual fund:				
Intermediate term-bond	\$ 458,546	\$ 458,546	\$ -	\$ -
Money market funds	157,220	157,220	-	
	\$ 615,766	\$ 615,766	\$ -	\$ -
Liabilities:				
Deferred compensation liability	\$ 615,766	\$ -	\$ 615,766	\$ -
Interest rate swaps liability	3,294	-	3,294	
	\$ 619,060	\$ -	\$ 619,060	\$ -

Cash funds and the associated liability totaling \$65,973 at March 31, 2018, are not included in the above table because they are recorded at cost.